1	2	3	4
8.	Kerala	Udyogmandal, Tiruvilla, Cochin, Palkkad, Ernakulam.	16
9.	Madhya Pradesh	Dhar, Ratlam, Durg, Rajgarh, Raisen, Seoni, Khandwa, Damoh, Satna, Gwalior, Chachai, Korba, Khargaon,	21
10.	Maha-rashtra	Kopargaon, Aurangabad, Koradi, Nashik, Deepnagar, Akola, Parli, Chitali, Roha, Ambernath, Kaylan, Ahmednagar.	12
11.	Pondic-herry (UT)	Pondicherry	4
12.	Punjab	Sangrur, Hoshiarpur, Patiala, Amritsar, Gurdaspur, Ludhiana, Nakodar, Ferozpur.	10
13.	Tamil Nadu	Pammal, Tiruvalangudu, Vallalar, Thanjavur, Ennore, Chengalpattu MGR District, V.R.P. District, Neyveli, Manali, Ranipet.	14
14.	Uttar Pradesh	Ghaziabad, Sonebhadra, Kanpur, Nandganj, Barabanki, Bareilly, Bahraich, Unnao.	12
15.	West Bengal	I.F.B. Agro Industries Ltd. W.B.	1
	Total		162

Note : In addition to the 17 categories, CPCB has visited more than 4000 industries in different States during the last three years in connection with Action Plan for problem areas, implementation of standards, public complaints, Ganga Action Plan and Court cases.

Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980

*364. SHRI HANSRAJ AHIR :
SHRI D.P. YADAV :

Will the Minister of ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS be pleased to state :

(a) whether under the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980, the State Governments have to provide double the land to the Department of Forests for utilisation of the Forest land for the development schemes;

(b) if so, whether the State Governments have requested the Central Government to be permitted to provide just the equal area of land and not double the area of land;

(c) whether the Government propose to amend the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980;

(d) if so, the details thereof; and

(e) the reaction of the State Governments thereto?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND FORESTS (CAPT. JAI NARAYAN PRASAD NISHAD) : (a) and (b). A statement regarding the provisions of compensatory afforestation stipulated by the Ministry while deciding proposals under the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980, is annexed.

(c) There is no proposal under consideration of the Ministry to amend the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 in respect of existing compensatory afforestation provisions in the said Act.

(d) and (e). Do not arise.

STATEMENT

The guidelines under the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 provide for transfer of equal non-forest land to the state forest departments for compensatory afforstation in lieu of the forest areas sought to be diverted. This is insisted upon as a first preference to compensate for the net loss of forest area due to diversion. In the event of non-availability of non-forest land, as also in certain other cases, only funds for afforestation over double the degraded forest land are required to be deposited with the state forest departments in which no transfer of land is involved. Hence the question of transfer of double the extent of land for raising compensatory afforestation does not arise. Infact, requests received from the state Governments from time to time, have been mostly regarding consideration of compensatory afforestation over degraded forest land instead of non-forest land.

[English]

Assistance for Fishing Harbours

*366. SHRI KODIKKUNNIL SURESH : Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state :

(a) whether the Government have received any proposal from the Government of Kerala for getting financial assistance for the fishing harbours in the coastal areas;

(b) the details of the projects submitted by the State Government of Kerala and Governments of other States and the total amount of money demanded therefor, State-wise; and

(c) the decision taken by the Government on the proposals?

THE MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE (EXCLUDING THE DEPARTMENT OF ANIMAL HUSBANDRY AND DAIRYING) (SHRI CHATURANAN MISHRA) : (a) to (c). At present, thirteen minor fishing harbours including six in Kerala and twenty four fish landing centres including three in Kerala, sanctioned under a Centrally Sponsored Scheme are under construction in coastal States and the Union Territory of Pondicherry (Statement-I attached). Six proposals including three from Kerala have been received from the States for sanctioning and providing Central assistance for construction of fishing harbours (Statement-II attached).

STATMENT-I

Minor Fishery Harbours/FLCs Sanctioned and Construction in Progress

As on 31.10.96

Name of fishing harbour/ fish landing centres	Date of sanction	Sanctioned cost (Rs. in lakhs)	Targeted date of completion		Govt of India's share	Amount released (Rs. in lakhs)	Balance admissible	Remarks/present position
			Original	Revised/ expected				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Gujarat								
Fishing Harbours								
1. Jakhau	5/93	1143.60	5/96	5/97	1143.60 (100%)	240.00	903.60	At tendering Stage.
2. Mangrol stage-II	3/94	701.00	3/98	3/98	350.50	209.603	140.897	Construction at initial stage.
Fish Landing Centres								
1. Navabundar	3/91	33.91	3/94	3/97	16.95	15.00	1.95	Construction work not commenced.
2. Magod Dungari	11/92	38.74	11/94	11/96	19.37	19.37	-	At completion stage.
3. Chorwad	11/92	46.12	11/94	3/97	23.06	23.06	-	Nearing completion.
Maharashtra								
Fish Landing Centre								
1. Sarjekote	3/90	30.00	3/92	3/97	15.00	-	15.00	Construction yet to be taken up.
Karnataka								
Fishing Harbour								
1. Malpe stage-II	2/96	1196.70	2/2000	2/2000	598.35	50.00	548.35	A/A from Govt. of Karnataka still awaited.
Fishing Landing Centre								
1. Alvekodi	3/95	89.53	3/97	3/97	44.77	10.00	34.77	Construction at initial stage
2. Hejmadikodi	10/95	95.00	10/97	10/97	47.50	-	47.50	Land acquisition is not completed.
3. Kodibengre	3/93	55.00	3/95	3/97	27.50	27.50	-	Nearing completion.
4. Gangolli stage-II	2/95	13.20	2/96	3/97	6.60	6.60	-	Construction yet to be taken up.
Kerala								
Fishing Harbours								
1. Vizhinjam stage-III	2/87	704.00	2/90	2/98	352.00	452.00	-	Construction in progress, but lagging behind.

1	2	3	4	5
2. Munambam	10/88	1167.20 (R.E. approved)	10/93	3/97
3. Thangassery	10/88	1980.50	10/93	3/97
4. Mopla Bay	1/92	564.00	1/96	1/98
5. Chombal	1/92	556.00	1/96	1/98
6. Kayamkulam	8/94	624.00	8/98	8/2000
Kerala				
Fish Landing Centre				
1. Punnapra	12/93	36.80	12/95	12/97
2. Kattor Pollathai	12/93	50.25	12/95	12/97
3. Quilandy	3/92	23.00	3/94	3/97
Tamil Nadu				
Fishing Harbours				
1. Chinnamuttom	10/89	684.00	3/91	3/97
Pondicherry				
Fishing Harbour				
1. Pondicherry	4/91	423.00	4/94	4/98
Andhra Pradesh				
Fishing Harbour				
1. Machilipatnam	3/96	470.88	3/99	3/99
Fish Landing Centre				
1. Mangipudi	10/85	17.00	10/87	3/97
Orissa				
Fish Landing Centre				
1. Bahabalpur	12/93	77.26	12/95	12/96
2. Bandara	2/94	94.20	2/96	12/96
3. Khandipatna	3/94	69.00	3/96	12/96
4. Bhusandpur-Baliapetpur	5/94	95.00	5/96	12/96

6	7	8	9
583.60	555.00	28.60	Construction in progress, but lagging behind.
990.25	787.00	203.25	Construction in progress, but lagging behind.
282.00	240.00	42.00	Construction in progress, but lagging behind.
278.00	195.00	83.00	Construction in progress, but lagging behind.
312.30	-	312.30	Land acquisition just completed.
18.40	-	18.40	Land acquisition not completed
25.125	-	25.125	Land acquisition just completed.
12.50	12.50	-	Nearing completion.
342.00	342.00		Nearing completion.
423.00	210.00	213.00	Lagging behind by 4 years.
235.44	50.00	185.44	A/A from Govt. of Andhra Pradesh is awaited.
8.50	8.50		Nearing completion.
38.63	30.00	8.63	A/A from Government of Orissa.
47.10	45.00	2.10	issued recently. Progress
34.50	25.00	9.50	is slow.
47.50	30.00	17.50	

1	2	3	4	5
Orissa				
Fish Landing Centre				
5. Chandrabhaga	4/88	8.32	4/90	3/97
6. Kansabansa	3/92	46.40	3/94	3/97
7. Rushikulya	3/92	9.40	3/94	3/97
8. Tantiapal	3/93	60.40	3/95	3/97
9. Soran	3/92	9.97	3/94	3/97
10. Sorala	2/94	70.28	2/96	3/97
West Bengal				
Fishing Harbour				
1. Digha stage-II	11/95	492.52	11/99	11/99
Fish Landing Centre				
1. Madan Gunj	12/92	9.60	12/94	3/97
2. Brij Ballavpur	12/92	9.65	12/94	3/97

6	7	8	9
4.16	4.16	-	Nearing completion
23.20	23.20	-	Nearing completion
4.70	4.70	-	-do-
30.20	30.20	-	-do-
4.98	4.98	-	-do-
35.14	35.14	-	-do-
246.26	150.00	96.26	Tendering of work under Progress
4.80	4.80	-	Nearing completion.
4.825	4.825	-	Nearing completion.

STATEMENT-II

Name of Fishing Harbour	Facilities proposed by the State Govt.	Estimated cost (Rs. in lakhs)	Present Status
Kerala			
1. Ponnani	1. Breakwater 2. Quay 3. Auction hall and ancillary facilities	860.00	The Government of Kerala has been requested to carry out model studies to assess the techno-economic feasibility and submit revised detailed project report accordingly, which is awaited from the State Government.
2. Thottappally	1. Breakwaters (2 Nos.) and ancillary facilities	25.27	-do-
3. Muthalapozhy	1. Breakwater 2. Wharf 3. Auction hall & ancillary facilities	605.00	The Government of Kerala have been requested to carry out model studies and Environmental Impact Studies to assess the techno-economic feasibility and submit revised detailed project report accordingly, which is awaited from the State Government.
Maharashtra			
1. Anandwadi	1. Jetties 2. Auction hall & other ancillary facilities	415.70	Government of Maharashtra have been requested to modify the project report and estimate in view of the suggestions of the Central Institutes of Coastal Engineering for Fisheries (CICEF), Bangalore. The same is awaited from the State Government.
Gujarat			
1. Okha	1. Jetties 2. Quays 3. Auction hall & other ancillary facilities	2775.00	After scrutiny, the Central Institute of Coastal Engineering for Fisheries, Bangalore have been instructed to prepare revised techno-economic feasibility report to meet the present requirement of vessels having draft upto 2.5m. The same if awaited from CICEF through the State Government.
Karnataka			
1. Mangalore Stage-II	1. Quay and other ancillary facilities	30.00	The State Government of Karnataka have been requested to modify the estimate after redesigning the structure viz. quay wall and auction hall and to get technical clearance from CICEF, Bangalore. The revised project report is awaited from the State Government.

Procurement of Paddy in Punjab

*367. SHRI SUKHBIR SINGH BADAL : Will the Minister of FOOD be pleased to state :

(a) the quantity of paddy procured by the Food Corporation of India in Punjab and other paddy producing States and the prices thereof, category-wise and State-wise;

(b) whether the farmers have complained about the payment of non-remunerative prices;

(c) if so, the details thereof and the action taken by the Government thereon;

(d) the details of the varieties of paddy declared as superfine in the neighbouring States but not in Punjab; and